Convergence Analysis of the Geometric Thin-Film Equation

ioint with Lennon Ó Náraigh and Khang Ee Pang

University College Dublin, Ireland

6 December 2022

The Geometric Thin-Film Equation

Fix $\alpha > 0$. The **Geometric Thin-Film Equation** is given by

 $\partial_t h + \partial_x (h \bar{h}^2 \partial_{xxx} \bar{h}) = 0$ where $\bar{h} = K * h$

(GTFE)

in the region $\Omega := \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$, with initial data $h(0) = \mu \in B_{\mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R})}$.

The Geometric Thin-Film Equation

The Geometric Thin-Film Equation

Fix $\alpha > 0$. The **Geometric Thin-Film Equation** is given by

 $\partial_t h + \partial_x (h \bar{h}^2 \partial_{xxx} \bar{h}) = 0$ where $\bar{h} = K * h$ (GTFE)

in the region $\Omega := \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$, with initial data $h(0) = \mu \in B_{\mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R})}$.

Here, the measure-valued function $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ represents the basic free-surface height,

$$K(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{4\alpha^2} (\alpha + |\mathbf{x}|) \mathrm{e}^{-|\mathbf{x}|/\alpha}$$

is the Green's function for the bi-Helmholtz problem $(1 - \alpha^2 \partial_{xx})^2 K(x) = \delta(x)$, and

$$\bar{h}(t,x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x-z) \,\mathrm{d}h(t)(z)$$

is a smoothened version of the basic free-surface height.

The Geometric Thin-Film Equation

The Geometric Thin-Film Equation

Fix $\alpha > 0$. The **Geometric Thin-Film Equation** is given by

 $\partial_t h + \partial_x (h \bar{h}^2 \partial_{xxx} \bar{h}) = 0$ where $\bar{h} = K * h$ (GTFE)

in the region $\Omega := \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$, with initial data $h(0) = \mu \in B_{\mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R})}$.

Here, the measure-valued function $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ represents the basic free-surface height,

$$K(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{4\alpha^2} (\alpha + |\mathbf{x}|) \mathrm{e}^{-|\mathbf{x}|/\alpha}$$

is the Green's function for the bi-Helmholtz problem $(1 - \alpha^2 \partial_{xx})^2 K(x) = \delta(x)$, and

$$\bar{h}(t,x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x-z) dh(t)(z)$$

is a smoothened version of the basic free-surface height.

Ó Náraigh and Pang introduced (GTFE) as a novel regularization of the **thin-film equation**

$$\partial_t h = -\partial_x (h^3 \partial_{xxx} h)$$

in one spatial variable.

The pair (h, \bar{h}) is a weak solution of (GTFE) if it satisfies...

The pair (h, \bar{h}) is a weak solution of (GTFE) if it satisfies...

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t,0) \, \mathrm{d}h(0) + \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{h}(1-\alpha^{2}\partial_{xx})^{2} \phi_{t} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{h}^{3} \partial_{xxx} \bar{h} \phi_{x} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \\ - 2\alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{h}^{2} \partial_{xx} \bar{h} \partial_{xxx} \bar{h} \phi_{x} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t - \alpha^{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{h} \partial_{x} \bar{h}(\partial_{xxx} \bar{h})^{2} \phi_{x} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \\ - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\bar{h} \partial_{xxx} \bar{h})^{2} \phi_{xx} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t = 0, \quad (\mathsf{W})$$

for all indefinitely differentiable and compactly-supported test functions $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

The pair (h, \bar{h}) is a weak solution of (GTFE) if it satisfies...

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t,0) \, \mathrm{d}h(0) + \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{h}(1-\alpha^{2}\partial_{xx})^{2} \phi_{t} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{h}^{3} \partial_{xxx} \bar{h} \phi_{x} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \\ - 2\alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{h}^{2} \partial_{xx} \bar{h} \partial_{xxx} \bar{h} \phi_{x} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t - \alpha^{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{h} \partial_{x} \bar{h}(\partial_{xxx} \bar{h})^{2} \phi_{x} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \\ - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\bar{h} \partial_{xxx} \bar{h})^{2} \phi_{xx} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t = 0, \quad (\mathsf{W})$$

for all indefinitely differentiable and compactly-supported test functions $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Problem

• Given initial data $h(0) = \mu \in B_{\mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R})}$, does (W) have a solution (h, \bar{h}) in Ω ?

The pair (h, \bar{h}) is a weak solution of (GTFE) if it satisfies...

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t,0) \, \mathrm{d}h(0) + \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{h}(1-\alpha^{2}\partial_{xx})^{2} \phi_{t} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{h}^{3} \partial_{xxx} \bar{h} \phi_{x} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \\ - 2\alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{h}^{2} \partial_{xx} \bar{h} \partial_{xxx} \bar{h} \phi_{x} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t - \alpha^{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{h} \partial_{x} \bar{h}(\partial_{xxx} \bar{h})^{2} \phi_{x} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \\ - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\bar{h} \partial_{xxx} \bar{h})^{2} \phi_{xx} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t = 0, \quad (\mathsf{W})$$

for all indefinitely differentiable and compactly-supported test functions $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Problem

- Given initial data $h(0) = \mu \in B_{\mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R})}$, does (W) have a solution (h, \bar{h}) in Ω ?
- 2 If so, what is the regularity of \bar{h} ?

The pair (h, \bar{h}) is a weak solution of (GTFE) if it satisfies...

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t,0) \, \mathrm{d}h(0) + \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{h}(1-\alpha^{2}\partial_{xx})^{2} \phi_{t} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{h}^{3} \partial_{xxx} \bar{h} \phi_{x} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \\ - 2\alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{h}^{2} \partial_{xx} \bar{h} \partial_{xxx} \bar{h} \phi_{x} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t - \alpha^{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{h} \partial_{x} \bar{h}(\partial_{xxx} \bar{h})^{2} \phi_{x} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \\ - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\bar{h} \partial_{xxx} \bar{h})^{2} \phi_{xx} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t = 0, \quad (\mathsf{W})$$

for all indefinitely differentiable and compactly-supported test functions $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Problem

- Given initial data $h(0) = \mu \in B_{\mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R})}$, does (W) have a solution (h, \overline{h}) in Ω ?
- 2 If so, what is the regularity of \bar{h} ?
- Sinally, to what extent is the solution unique?

A potential difficulty!

Equation (W) involves derivatives of order three and above, but K is only twice classically differentiable and

$$\mathcal{K}^{\prime\prime\prime}(x) \;=\; rac{1}{4lpha^2} \left(rac{2\, {
m sgn}(x)}{lpha^2} - rac{x}{lpha^3}
ight) {
m e}^{-rac{|x|}{lpha}}, \qquad x
eq 0,$$

is undefined and has no limit at the origin.

A potential difficulty!

Equation (W) involves derivatives of order three and above, but K is only twice classically differentiable and

$$\mathcal{K}^{\prime\prime\prime}(x) \;=\; rac{1}{4lpha^2} \left(rac{2\, {
m sgn}(x)}{lpha^2} - rac{x}{lpha^3}
ight) {
m e}^{-rac{|x|}{lpha}}, \qquad x
eq 0,$$

is undefined and has no limit at the origin.

Loosely speaking, it follows that:

standard existence theorems requiring Lipschitz or continuous kernels don't apply;

A potential difficulty!

Equation (W) involves derivatives of order three and above, but K is only twice classically differentiable and

$$\mathcal{K}^{\prime\prime\prime}(x) \;=\; rac{1}{4lpha^2} \left(rac{2\, {
m sgn}(x)}{lpha^2} - rac{x}{lpha^3}
ight) {
m e}^{-rac{|x|}{lpha}}, \qquad x
eq 0,$$

is undefined and has no limit at the origin.

Loosely speaking, it follows that:

- standard existence theorems requiring Lipschitz or continuous kernels don't apply;
- ideally solutions should avoid this point of discontinuity to prevent bad behaviour.

• Write h(t) as a push-forward measure

$$h(t) = c(t, \cdot)_* \mu, \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dh(t)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(c(t, x)) d\mu(x),$$

for some Borel function $c : \mathbb{R}^+ \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ to be determined, where M is a closed set such that supp $\mu \subseteq M \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

• Write h(t) as a push-forward measure

$$h(t) = c(t, \cdot)_* \mu, \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}h(t)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(c(t, x)) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x),$$

for some Borel function $c : \mathbb{R}^+ \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ to be determined, where M is a closed set such that supp $\mu \subseteq M \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

2 To avoid problems with K''' at the origin, require that *c* satisfies a 'no-crossing' condition: c(t, x) < c(t, y) whenever $x, y \in M, x < y$, (NC)

i.e. $c(t, \cdot)$ is strictly increasing on *M* for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

• Write h(t) as a push-forward measure

$$h(t) = c(t, \cdot)_* \mu, \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}h(t)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(c(t, x)) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x),$$

for some Borel function $c : \mathbb{R}^+ \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ to be determined, where M is a closed set such that supp $\mu \subseteq M \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

2 To avoid problems with K''' at the origin, require that c satisfies a 'no-crossing' condition:

$$c(t,x) < c(t,y)$$
 whenever $x, y \in M, x < y$, (NC)

i.e. $c(t, \cdot)$ is strictly increasing on M for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Identify an ODE system such that, when satisfied by *c*, the corresponding push-forward *h* is a solution of (W) with initial data $h(0) = \mu$.

• Write h(t) as a push-forward measure

$$h(t) = c(t, \cdot)_* \mu, \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}h(t)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(c(t, x)) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x),$$

for some Borel function $c : \mathbb{R}^+ \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ to be determined, where M is a closed set such that supp $\mu \subseteq M \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

2 To avoid problems with K''' at the origin, require that *c* satisfies a 'no-crossing' condition:

$$c(t,x) < c(t,y)$$
 whenever $x, y \in M, x < y$, (NC)

i.e. $c(t, \cdot)$ is strictly increasing on M for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

- Identify an ODE system such that, when satisfied by *c*, the corresponding push-forward *h* is a solution of (W) with initial data $h(0) = \mu$.
- Prove that the ODE system has a solution c satisfying (NC).

Theorem 1

Let $c: \mathbb{R}^+ \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (NC) and

$$\begin{cases} c_t(t,x) = \bar{h}(t,c(t,x))^2 \int_{z \neq x} K'''(c(t,x) - c(t,z)) d\mu(z) \\ c(0,x) = x \end{cases}, \quad (t,x) \in (0,\infty) \times M. \tag{ODE}$$

Then h is a solution of (W).

Theorem 1

Let $c: \mathbb{R}^+ \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (NC) and

$$\begin{cases} c_t(t,x) = \bar{h}(t,c(t,x))^2 \int_{z \neq x} K'''(c(t,x) - c(t,z)) d\mu(z) \\ c(0,x) = x \end{cases}, \quad (t,x) \in (0,\infty) \times M. \tag{ODE}$$

Then h is a solution of (W).

• We have
$$\overline{h}(t, c(t, x)) = (K * h(t))(c(t, x)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(c(t, x) - c(t, z)) d\mu(z).$$

Theorem 1

Let $c : \mathbb{R}^+ \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (NC) and

$$\begin{cases} c_t(t,x) = \bar{h}(t,c(t,x))^2 \int_{z \neq x} K'''(c(t,x) - c(t,z)) d\mu(z) \\ c(0,x) = x \end{cases}, \quad (t,x) \in (0,\infty) \times M. \tag{ODE}$$

Then h is a solution of (W).

• We have
$$\bar{h}(t, c(t, x)) = (K * h(t))(c(t, x)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(c(t, x) - c(t, z)) \, d\mu(z).$$

If *c* solves (ODE) then necessarily the paths $c(\cdot, x)$ are C^1 for all $x \in M$.

Theorem 1

Let $c : \mathbb{R}^+ \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (NC) and

$$\begin{cases} c_t(t,x) = \bar{h}(t,c(t,x))^2 \int_{z \neq x} K'''(c(t,x) - c(t,z)) d\mu(z) \\ c(0,x) = x \end{cases}, \quad (t,x) \in (0,\infty) \times M. \tag{ODE}$$

Then h is a solution of (W).

• We have
$$\bar{h}(t, c(t, x)) = (K * h(t))(c(t, x)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(c(t, x) - c(t, z)) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(z).$$

If c solves (ODE) then necessarily the paths $c(\cdot, x)$ are C^1 for all $x \in M$.

3 The condition c(0, x) = x, $x \in M$, implies $h(0) = c(0, \cdot)_* \mu = \mu$.

Theorem 1

Let $c: \mathbb{R}^+ \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (NC) and

$$\begin{cases} c_t(t,x) = \bar{h}(t,c(t,x))^2 \int_{z \neq x} K'''(c(t,x) - c(t,z)) d\mu(z) \\ c(0,x) = x \end{cases}, \quad (t,x) \in (0,\infty) \times M. \tag{ODE}$$

Then h is a solution of (W).

• We have
$$\bar{h}(t, c(t, x)) = (K * h(t))(c(t, x)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(c(t, x) - c(t, z)) d\mu(z).$$

(a) If *c* solves (ODE) then necessarily the paths $c(\cdot, x)$ are C^1 for all $x \in M$.

Solution $c(0, x) = x, x \in M$, implies $h(0) = c(0, \cdot)_* \mu = \mu$.

So As $c(t, \cdot)$ is strictly increasing, $c(t, x) - c(t, z) \neq 0$ for $z \neq x$, so we avoid the undefined K'''(0).

In the first step we assume μ is a 'pseudo-particle' to write (ODE) as a finite ODE system.

In the first step we assume μ is a 'pseudo-particle' to write (ODE) as a finite ODE system.

Consider $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \delta_{a_i}$, where $a_1 < \cdots < a_N$, $w_i \ge 0, 1 \le i \le N$, and $0 < \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \le 1$.

In the first step we assume μ is a 'pseudo-particle' to write (ODE) as a finite ODE system.

Consider
$$\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \delta_{a_i}$$
, where $a_1 < \cdots < a_N$, $w_i \ge 0$, $1 \le i \le N$, and $0 < \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \le 1$.

Set $M = \{a_1, \ldots, a_N\} \supseteq$ supp μ and define $x_i(t) = c(t, a_i), 1 \le i \le N$.

In the first step we assume μ is a 'pseudo-particle' to write (ODE) as a finite ODE system. Consider $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \delta_{a_i}$, where $a_1 < \cdots < a_N$, $w_i \ge 0$, $1 \le i \le N$, and $0 < \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \le 1$. Set $M = \{a_1, \ldots, a_N\} \supseteq$ supp μ and define $x_i(t) = c(t, a_i)$, $1 \le i \le N$. Then (ODE) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_{i}(t) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{j} K(x_{i}(t) - x_{j}(t))\right)^{2} \left(\sum_{j \neq i} w_{j} K'''(x_{i}(t) - x_{j}(t))\right), & t > 0, \ 1 \le i \le N. \end{cases}$$
(FODE)
$$x_{i}(0) = a_{i}$$

In the first step we assume μ is a 'pseudo-particle' to write (ODE) as a finite ODE system. Consider $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \delta_{a_i}$, where $a_1 < \cdots < a_N$, $w_i \ge 0$, $1 \le i \le N$, and $0 < \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \le 1$. Set $M = \{a_1, \ldots, a_N\} \supseteq$ supp μ and define $x_i(t) = c(t, a_i)$, $1 \le i \le N$. Then (ODE) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_{i}(t) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{j} K(x_{i}(t) - x_{j}(t))\right)^{2} \left(\sum_{j \neq i} w_{j} K'''(x_{i}(t) - x_{j}(t))\right), & t > 0, \ 1 \le i \le N. \end{cases}$$
(FODE)
$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_{i}(0) = a_{i} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2

Define the open set $D = \{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_i < x_j, i < j \}$. Then the velocity field $\boldsymbol{v} : D \to \mathbb{R}^N$ given by

$$v_i(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^N w_j K(x_i - x_j)\right)^2 \left(\sum_{j \neq i} w_j K'''(x_i - x_j)\right)$$

is Lipschitz.

Solutions of (ODE) step 1: particle solutions

Proposition 3

There exists a unique solution $\mathbf{x} : \mathbb{R}^+ \to D$ of (FODE).

Equivalently, $c : \mathbb{R}^+ \times M \to \mathbb{R}$, given by $c(t, a_i) = x_i(t), 1 \le i \le N$, is the unique solution of (ODE) with initial data $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \delta_{a_i}$.

Proposition 3

There exists a unique solution $\mathbf{x} : \mathbb{R}^+ \to D$ of (FODE).

Equivalently, $c : \mathbb{R}^+ \times M \to \mathbb{R}$, given by $c(t, a_i) = x_i(t)$, $1 \le i \le N$, is the unique solution of (ODE) with initial data $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \delta_{a_i}$.

• (FODE) is equivalent to $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x}(0) = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in D$, so as \mathbf{v} is Lipschitz, a standard application of the Picard-Lindelöf theorem yields a unique local solution for small time *t*.

Proposition 3

There exists a unique solution $\mathbf{x} : \mathbb{R}^+ \to D$ of (FODE).

Equivalently, $c : \mathbb{R}^+ \times M \to \mathbb{R}$, given by $c(t, a_i) = x_i(t)$, $1 \le i \le N$, is the unique solution of (ODE) with initial data $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \delta_{a_i}$.

(FODE) is equivalent to $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x}(0) = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in D$, so as \mathbf{v} is Lipschitz, a standard application of the Picard-Lindelöf theorem yields a unique local solution for small time *t*.

To get a unique global solution, we must show that D is a trapping region, i.e. one in which no solution that starts in D can escape D in finite time.

Proposition 3

There exists a unique solution $\mathbf{x} : \mathbb{R}^+ \to D$ of (FODE).

Equivalently, $c : \mathbb{R}^+ \times M \to \mathbb{R}$, given by $c(t, a_i) = x_i(t)$, $1 \le i \le N$, is the unique solution of (ODE) with initial data $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \delta_{a_i}$.

- (FODE) is equivalent to $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x}(0) = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in D$, so as \mathbf{v} is Lipschitz, a standard application of the Picard-Lindelöf theorem yields a unique local solution for small time *t*.
- To get a unique global solution, we must show that D is a trapping region, i.e. one in which no solution that starts in D can escape D in finite time.
- **(3)** We will call any solution $c : \mathbb{R}^+ \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ furnished by Proposition 3 a **particle solution**.

Theorem 4

Given $\mu = B_{\mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R})}$, there exists an increasing sequence of finite sets $M_N \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and measures μ_N , supp $\mu_N \subseteq M_N$, such that the corresponding sequence of particle solutions $c_N : \mathbb{R}^+ \times M_N \to \mathbb{R}$ 'converges' to $c : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies (NC) and solves (ODE) with initial data μ .

Theorem 4

Given $\mu = B_{\mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R})}$, there exists an increasing sequence of finite sets $M_N \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and measures μ_N , supp $\mu_N \subseteq M_N$, such that the corresponding sequence of particle solutions $c_N : \mathbb{R}^+ \times M_N \to \mathbb{R}$ 'converges' to $c : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies (NC) and solves (ODE) with initial data μ .

• The μ_N satisfy $\mu_N \xrightarrow{w^*} \mu$ (via the identification $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}) = C_0(\mathbb{R})^*$), but some stronger convergence behaviour is needed in the proof.

Theorem 4

Given $\mu = B_{\mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R})}$, there exists an increasing sequence of finite sets $M_N \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and measures μ_N , supp $\mu_N \subseteq M_N$, such that the corresponding sequence of particle solutions $c_N : \mathbb{R}^+ \times M_N \to \mathbb{R}$ 'converges' to $c : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies (NC) and solves (ODE) with initial data μ .

- The μ_N satisfy $\mu_N \xrightarrow{w^*} \mu$ (via the identification $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}) = C_0(\mathbb{R})^*$), but some stronger convergence behaviour is needed in the proof.
- The proof is reminiscent of that of Helly's selection theorem.

Theorem 4

Given $\mu = B_{\mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R})}$, there exists an increasing sequence of finite sets $M_N \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and measures μ_N , supp $\mu_N \subseteq M_N$, such that the corresponding sequence of particle solutions $c_N : \mathbb{R}^+ \times M_N \to \mathbb{R}$ 'converges' to $c : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies (NC) and solves (ODE) with initial data μ .

• The μ_N satisfy $\mu_N \xrightarrow{w^*} \mu$ (via the identification $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}) = C_0(\mathbb{R})^*$), but some stronger convergence behaviour is needed in the proof.

- The proof is reminiscent of that of Helly's selection theorem.
- Some careful estimates (established by analysing K, K''' and using Grönwall's Lemma) are needed to ensure that c satisfies (NC).

Theorem 4

Given $\mu = B_{\mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R})}$, there exists an increasing sequence of finite sets $M_N \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and measures μ_N , supp $\mu_N \subseteq M_N$, such that the corresponding sequence of particle solutions $c_N : \mathbb{R}^+ \times M_N \to \mathbb{R}$ 'converges' to $c : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies (NC) and solves (ODE) with initial data μ .

• The μ_N satisfy $\mu_N \xrightarrow{w^*} \mu$ (via the identification $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}) = C_0(\mathbb{R})^*$), but some stronger convergence behaviour is needed in the proof.

- The proof is reminiscent of that of Helly's selection theorem.
- Some careful estimates (established by analysing K, K''' and using Grönwall's Lemma) are needed to ensure that c satisfies (NC).
- Further estimates (obtained using the 'strong' convergence mentioned above) are needed to show that the sequence of sums in (FODE) converge to the integral in (ODE).

Now that the existence of weak solutions of (GTFE) have been established, it's necessary to determine the regularity of the smoothened version \bar{h} of the basic free-surface height h.

Now that the existence of weak solutions of (GTFE) have been established, it's necessary to determine the regularity of the smoothened version \bar{h} of the basic free-surface height h.

Let $H^3(\mathbb{R})$ denote the Hilbert-Sobolev space of functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ whose weak derivatives up to order 3 exist and have finite L^2 -norm.

Now that the existence of weak solutions of (GTFE) have been established, it's necessary to determine the regularity of the smoothened version \bar{h} of the basic free-surface height h.

Let $H^3(\mathbb{R})$ denote the Hilbert-Sobolev space of functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ whose weak derivatives up to order 3 exist and have finite L^2 -norm.

Let $C_b^{0,\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^+; H^3(\mathbb{R}))$ denote the space of $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder continuous functions $u : \mathbb{R}^+ \to H^3(\mathbb{R})$ that are bounded in the sense that $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \|u(t)\| < \infty$.

Now that the existence of weak solutions of (GTFE) have been established, it's necessary to determine the regularity of the smoothened version \bar{h} of the basic free-surface height h.

Let $H^3(\mathbb{R})$ denote the Hilbert-Sobolev space of functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ whose weak derivatives up to order 3 exist and have finite L^2 -norm.

Let $C_b^{0,\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^+; H^3(\mathbb{R}))$ denote the space of $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder continuous functions $u : \mathbb{R}^+ \to H^3(\mathbb{R})$ that are bounded in the sense that $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \|u(t)\| < \infty$.

Theorem 5

Let $c: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (NC) and solve (ODE). Then $\bar{h}(t, \cdot) = K * h(t) \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Now that the existence of weak solutions of (GTFE) have been established, it's necessary to determine the regularity of the smoothened version \bar{h} of the basic free-surface height h.

Let $H^3(\mathbb{R})$ denote the Hilbert-Sobolev space of functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ whose weak derivatives up to order 3 exist and have finite L^2 -norm.

Let $C_b^{0,\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^+; H^3(\mathbb{R}))$ denote the space of $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder continuous functions $u : \mathbb{R}^+ \to H^3(\mathbb{R})$ that are bounded in the sense that $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \|u(t)\| < \infty$.

Theorem 5

Let $c: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (NC) and solve (ODE). Then $\bar{h}(t, \cdot) = K * h(t) \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Moreover $\bar{h} \in C_b^{0, \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^+; H^3(\mathbb{R}))$, via the identification $\bar{h}(t) = \bar{h}(t, \cdot)$.

Now that the existence of weak solutions of (GTFE) have been established, it's necessary to determine the regularity of the smoothened version \bar{h} of the basic free-surface height *h*.

Let $H^3(\mathbb{R})$ denote the Hilbert-Sobolev space of functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ whose weak derivatives up to order 3 exist and have finite L^2 -norm.

Let $C_b^{0,\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^+; H^3(\mathbb{R}))$ denote the space of $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder continuous functions $u : \mathbb{R}^+ \to H^3(\mathbb{R})$ that are bounded in the sense that $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \|u(t)\| < \infty$.

Theorem 5

Let $c: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (NC) and solve (ODE). Then $\bar{h}(t, \cdot) = K * h(t) \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Moreover $\bar{h} \in C_b^{0, \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^+; H^3(\mathbb{R}))$, via the identification $\bar{h}(t) = \bar{h}(t, \cdot)$.

• That $K * h(t) \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$ follows from the fact that $K * \mu = T^* \mu$, where $T : H^3(\mathbb{R}) \to C_0(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by $(Tf)(x) = \langle K(\cdot - x) | f \rangle_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}, x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now that the existence of weak solutions of (GTFE) have been established, it's necessary to determine the regularity of the smoothened version \bar{h} of the basic free-surface height *h*.

Let $H^3(\mathbb{R})$ denote the Hilbert-Sobolev space of functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ whose weak derivatives up to order 3 exist and have finite L^2 -norm.

Let $C_b^{0,\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^+; H^3(\mathbb{R}))$ denote the space of $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder continuous functions $u : \mathbb{R}^+ \to H^3(\mathbb{R})$ that are bounded in the sense that $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \|u(t)\| < \infty$.

Theorem 5

Let $c: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (NC) and solve (ODE). Then $\bar{h}(t, \cdot) = K * h(t) \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Moreover $\bar{h} \in C_b^{0, \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^+; H^3(\mathbb{R}))$, via the identification $\bar{h}(t) = \bar{h}(t, \cdot)$.

- That $K * h(t) \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$ follows from the fact that $K * \mu = T^* \mu$, where $T : H^3(\mathbb{R}) \to C_0(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by $(Tf)(x) = \langle K(\cdot x) | f \rangle_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}, x \in \mathbb{R}$.
- 2 The $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder continuity follows from the fact that $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \to B_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})}$ is Lipschitz with respect to a Wasserstein-like metric.

Uniqueness of solutions

Theorem 6

Let $c, c' : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (NC) and solve (ODE) with the same initial data. Then c = c', giving h = h'.

Uniqueness of solutions

Theorem 6

Let $c, c' : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (NC) and solve (ODE) with the same initial data. Then c = c', giving h = h'.

First a local uniqueness result is established, followed by the global one.

Theorem 6

Let $c, c' : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (NC) and solve (ODE) with the same initial data. Then c = c', giving h = h'.

- First a local uniqueness result is established, followed by the global one.
- The local result is obtained by considering a map on a suitable Fréchet space having contractionlike properties.

Theorem 6

Let $c, c' : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (NC) and solve (ODE) with the same initial data. Then c = c', giving h = h'.

- First a local uniqueness result is established, followed by the global one.
- The local result is obtained by considering a map on a suitable Fréchet space having contractionlike properties.
- Solutions h ≠ h' of (W) both having initial data δ₀, that (necessarily) are not both of the push-forward form described above. So solutions of (W) are not unique in general.

Summary of main results

Thank you for listening! Summary of main results

Theorem 1

The basic free-surface height h solves (W) if $c : \mathbb{R}^+ \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (NC) and solves (ODE):

$$c_t(t,x) = \bar{h}(t,c(t,x))^2 \int_{z \neq x} K'''(c(t,x) - c(t,z)) \,\mathrm{d}\mu(z), \qquad c(0,x) = x, \qquad (t,x) \in (0,\infty) \times M.$$

Theorem 4

There is a function $c: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies (NC) and solves (ODE) with initial data $\mu \in B_{\mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R})}$.

Theorem 5

Let
$$c:\Omega o \mathbb{R}$$
 satisfy (NC) and solve (ODE). Then $ar{h} \in C^{0,rac{1}{2}}_b(\mathbb{R}^+; H^3(\mathbb{R}))$.

Theorem 6

Let $c, c' : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (NC) and solve (ODE) with the same initial data. Then c = c', giving h = h'.

Richard Smith (mathsci.ucd.ie/~rsmith) (UCD)